

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/531,743	03/21/2000	Steven Jeromy Carriere	57921/107	7120
7590 01/30/2006			EXAMINER	
GLENN PATENT GROUP 3475 EDISON WAY			FISCHER, ANDREW J	
SUITE L	HAI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			3627	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Page 2 - 20060123

Application/Control Number: 09/531,743

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 C.F.R. §1.114

1. A request for continued examination ("RCE") under 37 C.F.R. §1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(e), was filed in this application on October 25, 2005 ("Second RCE"). This application was under a final rejection (the "Second Final Office Action" mailed June 20, 2005) and is therefore eligible for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114. Because the fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality in the Second Final Office Action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

## Acknowledgements

- 2. In accordance with the Second RCE noted above, Applicants' amendment filed October 25, 2005 is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 1-32 remain pending.
- 3. This Office Action is given Paper No. 20060123.
- 4. The examiner for this application has changed. Please indicate Examiner Andrew J. Fischer as the examiner of record in all future correspondences.
- 5. All references in this Office Action to the capitalized versions of "Applicants" refers specifically the Applicants of record. References to lower case versions of "applicant" or "applicants" refers to any or all patent "applicants." Unless expressly noted otherwise, references to "Examiner" in this Office Action refers to the Examiner of record while reference to or use of the lower case version of "examiner" or "examiners" refers to examiner(s) generally.

Page 3 - 20060123

Application/Control Number: 09/531,743

Art Unit: 3627

This Office Action is written in OACS. Because of this, the Examiner is unable to control formatting, paragraph numbering, font, spelling, line spacing, and/or other word processing issues. The Examiner sincerely apologies for these errors.

#### Restriction

- 6. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a method of funneling user responses, classified in class705, subclass 6.
  - II. Claims 9-16 and 31, drawn to a system, classified in class 705, subclass 21.
  - III. Claims 17-27 and 32, drawn to a voice portal, classified in class 379, subclass 67.
- IV. Claims 28-30, drawn to a method of operating, classified in class 705, subclass 7. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 7. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus—one that does not include a user interface.
- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4 - 20060123

Application/Control Number: 09/531,743

Art Unit: 3627

ď

- 9. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus—one that does not include a user interface.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation.
- 12. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group I is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 13. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

Page 5 - 20060123

Application/Control Number: 09/531,743

Art Unit: 3627

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the means for querying a user. The subcombination has separate utility such as tool to help the visually impaired.

- 14. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group II is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 15. Inventions II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process—one that does not include building a vocabulary set.
- 16. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group II is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 17. Inventions III and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the apparatus as

Application/Control Number: 09/531,743 Page 6 - 20060123

Art Unit: 3627

):

claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process—one that does not include building a vocabulary set.

- 18. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, and because the search required for Group III is not required for Group IV, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 19. Because this is a four way restriction, the restriction is considered complex.
- 20. Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. §1.143).
- 21. If Applicants argue that any two group of Inventions are not patentably distinct, the Examiner may withdraw the restriction between those two inventions. Thus, the Examiner will maintain the restriction if the groups of inventions are patentably distinct.
- Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. §1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. §1.17(i).
- 23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew J. Fischer whose telephone number is (571) 272-6779.

Application/Control Number: 09/531,743 Page 7 - 20060123

Art Unit: 3627

24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

25. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Andrew J Fischer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627

9 Tischer 1/23/06

AJF January 22, 2006